

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ANSU ABRAHAM,

Defendant.

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

8:09CR126

ORDER

This matter is before the court on the motion for an extension of time by defendant Ansu Abraham (Abraham) (Filing No. 34). Abraham seeks an additional two weeks in which to file pretrial motions in accordance with the progression order . Abraham's counsel represents that government's counsel has no objection to the motion. Upon consideration, the motion will be granted. However, no further extensions of the pretrial motion deadline will be granted absent a showing by affidavit that a manifest injustice would occur if the requested extension were not granted.

**IT IS ORDERED:**

Defendant Abraham's motion for an extension of time (Filing No. 34) is granted. Abraham is given until **on or before August 6, 2009**, in which to file pretrial motions pursuant to the progression order. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The additional **time** arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., the time between **July 23, 2009 and August 6, 2009**, shall be deemed **excludable** time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason defendant's counsel requires additional time to adequately prepare the case, taking into consideration due diligence of counsel, and the novelty and complexity of this case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B).

DATED this 23rd day of July, 2009.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Thomas D. Thalken

United States Magistrate Judge